

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bot. 1450 Alexandria, Virginita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,641	-	02/13/2004	Shuzo Okumura	2004-0146	5382
513	7590	06/03/2005		EXAM	IINER
WENDE 2033 K S	•	LIND & PONAC	CULBERT, ROBERTS P		
SUITE 80			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHIN	IGTON,	DC 20006-1021	1763		
				DATE MAIL CD. 07/02/200	E

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		16					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/777,641	OKUMURA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Roberts Culbert	1763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 F	ebruary 2004.						
_	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	, <u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	<del>-</del>	• • • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/763,961.							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Ir	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/13/04</u> .	6)	<u>_</u> .					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,641

Art Unit: 1763

## DETAILED ACTION

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,713,161. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other as recited below.

Claim 1 of the present application falls substantially within the scope of claim 3 of the conflicting patent.

Claim 2 of the present application falls substantially within the scope of claim 5 of the conflicting patent.

Claim 3 of the present application falls substantially within the scope of claim 7 of the conflicting patent.

Claim 4 of the present application falls substantially within the scope of claim 9 of the conflicting patent.

Claims 5 and 7-9 of the present application fall substantially within the scope of claims 2, 4, 6, 8 and 11 of the conflicting patent.

Claim 6 of the present application falls substantially within the scope of claim 1 of the conflicting patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,641

Art Unit: 1763

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Roberts Culbert whose telephone number is (571) 272-1433. The examiner can normally

be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Culbert

n. Cullet

PARVIZ HASSENZADEH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 3